DIRECT TESTIMONY ON REMAND

of

CHRISTOPHER BOGGS

Rates Analyst
Rates Department
Financial Analysis Division
Illinois Commerce Commission

Proposed General Increase in Water and Sewer Rates

Illinois-American Water Company

Docket No. 09-0319 On Remand

March 6, 2013

1	Q.	Please state v	your name and	business	address.
---	----	----------------	---------------	----------	----------

- 2 A. My name is Christopher L. Boggs and my business address is 527 E. Capitol
- 3 Avenue, Springfield, IL 62701.

5 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

- 6 A. I am employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission ("Commission" or "ICC") as
- 7 a Rate Analyst in the Rates Department of the Financial Analysis Division. My
- 8 responsibilities include rate design and cost of service analyses for electric, gas,
- 9 water and sewer utilities and the preparation of testimony on rates and rate
- 10 related matters.

4

11

19

12 Q. Please discuss your educational and professional background.

- 13 A. I received a BS in Economics/Business Administration from Knox College in
- 14 1987. I have been employed as a Rates Analyst at the Commission since April
- of 2008. In my work as a Rates Analyst, I have testified in several rate cases on
- issues including rate design, cost of service, tariff language, miscellaneous fees
- and rates. Prior to my employment at the ICC, I worked for more than 16 years
- in mortgage finance and mortgage operations management.

20 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

- 21 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present my review of the rate case expense
- 22 associated with the Cost of Service and Demand Studies for Illinois American

23 Water Company ("Company" or "IAWC), pursuant to the provisions of Section 9-24 229 of the Public Utilities Act ("Act"), which states: 25 The Commission shall specifically assess the justness and 26 reasonableness of any amount expended by a public utility to 27 compensate attorneys or technical experts to prepare and litigate a 28 general rate case filing. This issue shall be expressly addressed in 29 the Commission's final order. (220 ILCS 5/9-229) 30 31 Q. What documents did you review with regard to rate case expense 32 associated with the Cost of Service Study and Demand Study? 33 Α. I reviewed the Company's Schedules C-10 and C-10.1 as well as the Company's 34 responses to Staff Data Requests ("DRs") CB 8.01 and CB 8.02. These 35 responses included confidential information that detailed the costs and labor 36 hours involved with the Cost of Service Study and the Demand Study presented 37 in the initial filing. In addition, I reviewed Company Exhibits IAWC 1.03-RM, 38 IAWC 1.07RM and 1.08-RM which included invoices for other rate case expense 39 associated with the Cost of Service Study and Demand Study.1 40 41 Q. Do you propose any adjustments to the rate case expenses associated 42 with the Cost of Service Study and Demand Study? 43 No, I am not proposing any adjustments. Α.

¹ Specifically, I reviewed the responses to the Attorney General DRs AG1.17, AG 6.15, AG 10.21, AG 10.23, AG 10.24, AG 10.43, AG 10.44, and Staff DRs LHW 3.03, LHW 3.04, LHW 3.05, LHW 4.06, LHW 5.01, LHW 7.01, LHW 10.03, JMO 1.01, PL-2.02, PL-2.05 and PL-3.01.

- 44
- 45 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony on remand?
- 46 A. Yes, it does.